

From: _____
To: _____
Subject: Fw: New registrations
Date: Friday, 16 September 2011 10:43:26 AM

From: _____
Sent: Friday, September 16, 2011 8:44 AM
To: _____
Subject: Fw: New registrations

From: _____
Sent: Friday, September 16, 2011 8:44 AM
To: _____
Subject: New registrations

I personally do not want the proposed changes to registration and object on the basis that it is a restriction of livelihood. I would suspect that if a group of similar minded got together that they would challenge this in court. I feel the association should respect its members even if only one and represent them accordingly. In other words be an advocate for the existing and at the moment considered good enough practicing members. There are a lot of members not happy but may not be aware of their rights on this matter. Can you please send a circular email as this is short notice informing them of what rights they can explore. I am disappointed the association has not send letters to its members as it is such an important issue. Something as serious as this needs more than a mention in a magazine.

I know that new grads will have this new qualification 2014. The problem because whoever introduced therapeutics to the course must have had this agenda. It did not have to be part of the syllabus but could have been a post grad option.

It has gone from no compulsory continuing education at all to the extreme in one year. I want to see a two tier cohort. No reason for that not to be so.

Allison Coogan